



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/388,829	09/01/1999	KENNETH J. KNIGHT	MS1-321US	4486	
22801 7	590 07/17/2003				
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201		00	BURGESS, B	ARBARA N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157	8	
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	U	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.			PPA				
Examiner Berbara N Burgess 2157  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Education of time may be available under the proteions of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  **the period for reply specified above, the maximum stalutory period will apply and will egypt a reply be timely filled  **the period for reply specified above, the maximum stalutory period will apply and will egypt will be an egypt		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Barbara N Burgess 2157  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply within the datherey minimum of thiny (30) stays will be considered finely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply with the datherey minimum of thiny (30) stays will be considered finely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) stays, a reply with the datherey minimum of thiny (30) stays will be considered finely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than there reply in specified above is less than there are shall shall be all the state of th	Office Action Cummons	09/388,829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations of time map be available under the previous of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a raphy be timely filled the proof of th	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extractions of limb may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of limb may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of limb may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extractions of incept specified above, the maximum strikutory provised will apply and will expire 3X (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication of the provision of the provision of the string of the provision of the string of the communication of the provision of the string of the string of the communication of the string of the communication of the string of the communication of the string of th	TI MAN INC DATE And in communication of						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estencients of time may be available under the provides of 37 cPt 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fliad effect \$0.00 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It not provide to the provide of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication of the state of the communication.  Failuse to reply visible the set of extended period for reply vill. by statistic, causes the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Otilise inter then them someticated by the communication of the provided provided to the communication of the communication	• •	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2003.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: all approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  4 Challed Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application for the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies on the received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  9) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-822)  3. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<b>"</b>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	- , <del>-</del>		ion on to the media is				
4)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u>_</u> ·						
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	, <u> </u>						
6)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  1  Interview Sum		n from consideration.					
7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ▷ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9	<u> </u>						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	ala alla a sa sa sa la sa sa sa l					
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, , ,	election requirement.					
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·· _						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa					

Application/Control Number: 09/388,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to the applicant's amendment filed April 30, 2003.

Claims 1-33 are presented for further examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10-12, 14-19, 22, 29, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saether et al. (hereinafter "Saether", 6,405,219) in view of Strong et al. (hereinafter "Strong", 5,689,688).

As per claims 1,14-15, 29, 33, Saether discloses a method of synchronization among a plurality of web servers in a network wherein each of the plurality of web servers is coupled to a common data server, the method comprising:

- Retrieving updated data into the staging caches in the plurality of web servers (column 1, lines 50-53; column 2, lines 60-65);
- Copying data from the staging cache of each web server to an active cache of each web server (column 1, lines 63-67, column 2, lines 19-22, column 5, lines 20-25).

Saether does not explicitly disclose:

Retrieving a scheduled activation time from the data server.

Page 3

However, the use and advantages for retrieving data into the staging cache and copying data from the staging cache to an active cache is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Strong (column 2, lines 7-15, column 9, lines 32-34, 51-53).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate retrieving a scheduled activation time from the data server in Saether's synchronization method in order to specify a time in which the plurality of servers will be synchronized.

As per claims 2 and 16-17, Saether does not explicitly disclose:

- Comparing a time associated with a clock in each web server to a time associated with a clock in the data server;
- Adjusting the scheduled activation time on each web server by the time difference between the clock in the web server and the clock in the data server.

However, the use and advantages for comparing the clock in the web servers with that in the data server and adjusting the scheduled activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Strong (column 9, lines 60-67).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate these steps in Saether's method in order for the slave nodes to synchronize its local time with that of the reference time.

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 3 and 18, Saether does not explicitly disclose:

 Each web server contains a clock, and wherein the clocks in the plurality of web servers are not synchronize with one another (column 5, lines 27-31, column 9, lines 11-12).

However, the use and advantages for each web server containing a clock is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Strong (column 3, lines 11-13, column 5, lines 36-39, column 9, lines 10-12).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate each server containing a clock in which the web servers are not synchronized with one another in Saether's method in order to reduce network traffic by a slave node being an eavesdropper and synchronizing itself.

As per claims 4, 19, and 31, Saether discloses copying data from the staging cache to an active cache (column 1, lines 63-67, column 2, lines 19-22, column 5, lines 20-25). Therefore, Saether implicitly discloses copying data comprises swapping an active data cache pointer with a staged data cache pointer.

As per claims 5 and 32, Saether discloses:

 No communications are required between the individual web servers to synchronize their data (Abstract).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 8 and 21, Saether does not explicitly disclose calculating the next scheduled activation time. However, the use and advantage for scheduling the next activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Strong (column 10, lines 25-67, column 11, lines 1-15).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement calculating the next scheduled activation time in Saether's method of synchronization in order for each web server to be aware of the next scheduled time of synchronization.

As per claims 10 and 11, Saether discloses copying data from active cache of data server to an active cache of the web server when the web server is added and initialized (column 2, lines 59-65).

As per claims 12 and 22, Saether discloses a plurality of servers (web servers) (column 4, lines 35-37). Therefore, Strong implicitly discloses a plurality of web servers comprising a web farm.

Art Unit: 2157

3. Claims 6 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saether et al. (hereinafter "Saether", 6,405,219) in view of Strong et al. (hereinafter "Strong", 5,689,688) and in further view of Hagersten et al. (hereinafter "Hagersten", 5,958,019).

As per claims 6 and 30, Saether, in view of Strong, does not explicitly disclose retrieving updated data into staging caches of web servers performed asynchronously. However, the use and advantage for performing this operation asynchronously is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Hagersten (column 2, lines 47-58, column 3, lines 19-23, column 28, lines 6-14, column 30, line 27).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement asynchronously updating data into the staging cache in Saether's method of synchronization in order alleviate the stalling and degradation of a system.

4. Claims 7 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saether et al. (hereinafter "Saether", 6,405,219) in view of Strong et al. (hereinafter "Strong", 5,689,688) in further view of Yamazaki (hereinafter "Yamazaki", 5,923,855).

As per claims 7 and 20, Saether, in view of Strong, does not explicitly disclose after the scheduled activation time, updating data caches in the data server. However,

Art Unit: 2157

the use and advantage updating data caches in the data server after the scheduled activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Yamazaki (column 1, lines 19-24, column 5, lines 48-57).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement updating data caches in the data server after scheduled activation in Saether's method of synchronization in order to maintain cache consistency.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saether et al. (hereinafter "Saether", 6,405,219) in view of Strong et al. (hereinafter "Strong", 5,689,688) and in further view of Brendel et al. (hereinafter "Brendel", 5,774,660).

As per claim 13, Saether, in view of Strong, does not explicitly disclose the plurality of web servers being load balanced using a domain name service (DNS) round-robin technique. However, the use and advantage for scheduling the next activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Brendel (column 3, lines 1-6).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement a DNS round-robin technique in Saether's method of synchronization in order to manage server congestion and distribute loads across multiple servers.

Art Unit: 2157

6. Claims 23- 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saether et al. (hereinafter "Saether", 6,405,219) in view of Strong et al. (hereinafter "Strong", 5,689,688) in further view of Yamazaki (hereinafter "Yamazaki", 5,923,855).

Saether discloses a method of synchronization among a plurality of web servers in a network wherein each of the plurality of web servers is coupled to a common data server, the method comprising:

- Retrieving updated data into the staging caches in the plurality of web servers (column 1, lines 50-53; column 2, lines 60-65);
- Copying data from the staging cache of each web server to an active cache of each web server (column 1, lines 63-67, column 2, lines 19-22, column 5, lines 20-25).

Saether does not explicitly disclose:

- Retrieving a scheduled activation time from the data server;
- Calculating the next activation time.

However, the use and advantages for retrieving a scheduled activation time and calculating the next activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Strong (column 2, lines 7-15, column 9, lines 32-34, 51-53, column 10, lines 25-67, column 11, lines 1-15).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to incorporate retrieving a scheduled activation time from the data

Art Unit: 2157

server in Saether's synchronization method in order to specify a time in which the plurality of servers will be synchronized.

Saether, in view of Strong, does not explicitly disclose after the scheduled activation time, updating data caches in the data server. However, the use and advantage updating data caches in the data server after the scheduled activation time is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Yamazaki (column 1, lines 19-24, column 5, lines 48-57).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement updating data caches in the data server after scheduled activation in Saether's method of synchronization in order to maintain cache consistency.

#### Response to Arguments

# The Office notes the following arguments:

- (a) Saether does not disclose or suggest "prior to the scheduled activation time, retrieving updated data into staging caches in the plurality of web servers".
- (b) Strong fails to disclose or suggest "retrieving a scheduled activation time from the data server, retrieving updated data into the staging caches in the plurality of web servers, at the scheduled activation time, copying data from the staging cache of each web server to an active cache of each web server.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/388,829 Page 10

Art Unit: 2157

(c) Hagerstem fails to disclose or suggest a scheduled activation time, a staging cache, or an active cache.

- (d) Yamazaki fails to disclose or suggest a scheduled activation time, a staging cache, or an active cache.
- (e) Sakon fails to disclose or suggest a scheduled activation time, a staging cache, or an active cache.
- (f) Brendel fails to disclose or suggest a scheduled activation time, a staging cache, or an active cache.

### In response to:

(a)-(b) Saether discloses the updated data located in a repository (staging cache) in the global server being copied to a directory (active cache) on the content server (column 1, lines 62-67, column 2, lines 19-22, 60-65, column 5, lines 13-25). Saether does not explicitly disclose the scheduled activation time in which the updating of the caches take place, however, Strong shows how data can be updated and synchronized according to an activation time (column 5, lines 28-32, column 7, lines 8-10, column 8, lines 43-45). Therefore, Saether in view of Strong discloses this feature.

Application/Control Number: 09/388,829 Page 11

Art Unit: 2157

(c) Hagersten shows several examples of staging caches and active caches. The reference discloses updating data from main memory to an internal cache (column 1, lines 33-50, column 5, lines 30-35, column 7, lines 21-36). This reference also discloses transfer of data from a source to a destination within memory (column 6, lines 30-40). These caches are referenced as L2 and L1 caches (column 6, lines 52-65).

- (d) Yamazaki discloses a cache memory (active cache) and a memory module (staging cache). The reference discloses the cache memory having a copy of the memory module and that copy coinciding with the content of the memory module.
- (e) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8, 21, 23-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- (f) Brendel discloses the limitation of a web farm and load balancing which is not explicitly disclosed in Saether in view of Strong. Saether in view of Strong discloses the active and staging caches. Brendel is used to show that web farms and load balancing are well known in the art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

Application/Control Number

Art Unit: 2157

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

\*\*\*

July 14, 2003

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner